

Continuations

Hype for Types

October 27, 2020

Exceptions

Find

```
fun fold f z nil = z
  | fold f z (x::xs) = f(x, fold f z xs)
```

Find

```
fun fold f z nil = z
  | fold f z (x::xs) = f(x, fold f z xs)
```

```
fun find (p : 'a -> bool) (l : 'a list) : 'a option =
  fold
    (fn (x,r) => if p x then SOME x else r)
    NONE l
```

Find

```
fun fold f z nil = z
  | fold f z (x::xs) = f(x, fold f z xs)
```

```
fun find (p : 'a -> bool) (l : 'a list) : 'a option =
  fold
    (fn (x,r) => if p x then SOME x else r)
    NONE l
```

```
exception Ret
fun find' (p : 'a -> bool) (l : 'a list) : 'a option =
  fold
    (fn (x,_) => if p x then raise Ret x else NONE)
    NONE l
  handle Ret x => SOME x
```

Find

```
fun fold f z nil = z
  | fold f z (x::xs) = f(x, fold f z xs)
```

```
fun find (p : 'a -> bool) (l : 'a list) : 'a option =
  fold
    (fn (x,r) => if p x then SOME x else r)
  NONE l
```

```
fun find' (p : 'a -> bool) (l : 'a list) : 'a option =
  let exception Ret of 'a in
    fold
      (fn (x,_) => if p x then raise Ret x else NONE)
    NONE l
  handle Ret x => SOME x
end
```

Prod

```
fun fold f z nil = z
  | fold f z (x::xs) = f(x, fold f z xs)
```

```
fun prod p l =
  fold
    op*
    1 l
```

Prod

```
fun fold f z nil = z
  | fold f z (x::xs) = f(x, fold f z xs)
```

```
fun prod p l =
  fold
    op*
    1 l
```

```
fun prod p l =
  let exception Ret of int in
    fold
      (fn (0, _) => raise Ret 0 | (x, acc) => x * acc)
      1 l
    handle Ret i => i
  end
```


A Quick Trace

Continuations

CPS, but at the type level?

```
(* prod : int list -> (int -> 'a) -> 'a *)  
fun prod nil      k = k 1  
  | prod (0::_) k = k 0  
  | prod (x::xs) k = prod xs (fn res => k (x * res))
```

CPS, but at the type level?

```
(* prod : int list -> (int -> 'a) -> 'a *)  
fun prod nil      k = k 1  
  | prod (0::_) k = k 0  
  | prod (x::xs) k = prod xs (fn res => k (x * res))
```

Goal

Replace type `int -> 'a` with a *jump point* expecting an `int`.

Conveniently, SML <> SML/NJ

```
signature CONT =
sig
  type 'a cont
  val letcc : ('a cont -> 'a) -> 'a
  val throw : 'a cont -> 'a -> 'b
  val catch : ('a -> void) -> 'a cont
end

structure K :> CONT =
struct
  type 'a cont = 'a SMLofNJ.Cont.cont
  val letcc = SMLofNJ.Cont.callcc
  val throw = SMLofNJ.Cont.throw
  val catch = fn f => letcc (absurd o f o letcc o
    throw)
end
```

Some Rules

$$\frac{\Gamma, k : \tau \text{ cont} \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{letcc } k \text{ in } e : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash k : \tau \text{ cont} \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{throw } k \ e : \tau'}$$

CPS, but at the type level!

```
(* prod : int list -> int cont -> 'a *)
fun prod nil      k = throw k 1
  | prod (0::_) k = throw k 0
  | prod (x::xs) k = prod xs (catch (fn res => throw k
    (x * res)))
```

CPS, but at the type level!

```
(* prod : int list -> int cont -> 'a *)  
fun prod nil      k = throw k 1  
  | prod (0::_) k = throw k 0  
  | prod (x::xs) k = prod xs (catch (fn res => throw k  
    (x * res)))  
  
- letcc (fn k => prod [1,2,3] k);  
val it = 6 : int
```


Example: values with holes

```
(* sum : int list -> (int, int * int cont) either *)  
(* sum [2, 1, 5] ==> INL 8 *)  
(* sum [2, ~2, 5] ==> INR (~2,K) *)
```

Example: values with holes

```
(* sum : int list -> (int, int * int cont) either *)
(* sum [2, 1, 5] ==> INL 8 *)
(* sum [2, ~2, 5] ==> INR (~2,K) *)
```

```
type result = (int, int * int cont) either
```

```
fun aux (L : int list) (k : result cont) : int =
  case L of
  nil => 0
| x::xs => letcc (fn here =>
  if x < 0 then throw k (INR (x,here)) else x
) + aux xs k
```

```
val sum = fn L => letcc (fn k => INL (aux L k))
```

Example: values with holes

```
(* sum : int list -> (int, int * int cont) either *)
(* sum [2, 1, 5] ==> INL 8 *)
(* sum [2, ~2, 5] ==> INR (~2,K) *)
```

```
fun sumNonneg L =
  case sum L of
    INL res => SOME res
  | INR _   => NONE
```

Example: values with holes

```
(* sum : int list -> (int, int * int cont) either *)
(* sum [2, 1, 5] ==> INL 8 *)
(* sum [2, ~2, 5] ==> INR (~2,K) *)
```

```
fun sumNonneg L =
  case sum L of
    INL res => SOME res
  | INR _   => NONE
```

```
fun positives L =
  case sum L of
    INL res      => res
  | INR (n, k) => throw k (Int.abs n)
```

Example: values with holes

```
(* sum : int list -> (int, int * int cont) either *)
(* sum [2, 1, 5] ==> INL 8 *)
(* sum [2, ~2, 5] ==> INR (~2,K) *)
```

```
local
  val readNum = fn () => valOf (Int.fromString (valOf(
    TextIO.inputLine TextIO.stdIn)))
in
  fun fromUser L =
    case sum L of
      INL res => res
    | INR (x, k) => (
        print ("We got: " ^ Int.toString x ^ " (?) ");
        throw k (readNum ())
      )
end
```

Example: Form Validation, Trace

Back to Curry-Howard!

Is this Logical?

'a * 'b	$A \wedge B$
'a + 'b	$A \vee B$
'a -> 'b	$A \supset B$
unit	\top
void	\perp
'a cont	

Is this Logical?

'a * 'b	$A \wedge B$
'a + 'b	$A \vee B$
'a -> 'b	$A \supset B$
unit	\top
void	\perp
'a cont	$\neg A$

Is this Logical?

'a * 'b		$A \wedge B$
'a + 'b		$A \vee B$
'a -> 'b		$A \supset B$
unit		\top
void		\perp
'a cont		$\neg A$

Important Idea

Continuations correspond to *classical logic*!

Programs are proofs...

Now $\neg A \triangleq 'a \text{ cont}$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq 'a \rightarrow \text{void}$.

Recall the helper `val catch : ('a -> void) -> 'a cont`

$$\neg(A \wedge \neg A)$$

$$\neg(A \vee B) \supset \neg A \wedge \neg B$$

$$(A \supset B) \supset \neg(A \wedge \neg B)$$

Programs are proofs...

Now $\neg A \triangleq 'a \text{ cont}$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq 'a \rightarrow \text{void}$.

Recall the helper `val catch : ('a -> void) -> 'a cont`

$\neg(A \wedge \neg A)$

`catch (fn (a,na) => throw na a)`

$\neg(A \vee B) \supset \neg A \wedge \neg B$

$(A \supset B) \supset \neg(A \wedge \neg B)$

Programs are proofs...

Now $\neg A \triangleq 'a \text{ cont}$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq 'a \rightarrow \text{void}$.

Recall the helper `val catch : ('a -> void) -> 'a cont`

$\neg(A \wedge \neg A)$

`catch (fn (a,na) => throw na a)`

$\neg(A \vee B) \supset \neg A \wedge \neg B$

`fn k => (catch (throw k o INL)
 ,catch (throw k o INR))`

$(A \supset B) \supset \neg(A \wedge \neg B)$

Programs are proofs...

Now $\neg A \triangleq 'a \text{ cont}$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq 'a \rightarrow \text{void}$.

Recall the helper `val catch : ('a -> void) -> 'a cont`

$\neg(A \wedge \neg A)$

`catch (fn (a,na) => throw na a)`

$\neg(A \vee B) \supset \neg A \wedge \neg B$

`fn k => (catch (throw k o INL)
 ,catch (throw k o INR))`

$(A \supset B) \supset \neg(A \wedge \neg B)$

`fn f => catch (fn (a,nb) =>
 throw nb (f a))`

Finally a proof of $A \vee \neg A$

Let P be “there exists a word ending with the letter e.”

Finally a proof of $A \vee \neg A$

Let P be “there exists a word ending with the letter e.”
We prove $P \vee \neg P$ by proving $\neg P$.

Finally a proof of $A \vee \neg A$

Let P be “there exists a word ending with the letter e.”
We prove $P \vee \neg P$ by proving $\neg P$.

Proof.

There are no such words. □

Finally a proof of $A \vee \neg A$

Let A be “there exists a word ending with the letter e.”
We prove $P \vee \neg P$ by proving P .

Proof.

There is such a word.

Finally a proof of $A \vee \neg A$

Let A be “there exists a word ending with the letter e.”
We prove $P \vee \neg P$ by proving P .

Proof.

There is such a word. It’s “impossible”.



Classical Proofs!?

Now $\neg A \triangleq 'a \text{ cont}$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq 'a \rightarrow \text{void}$.

We'll provide the helper `val catch : ('a -> void) -> 'a cont`¹

$$A \vee \neg A$$

$$\neg(A \wedge B) \supset \neg A \vee \neg B$$

$$\neg(A \wedge \neg B) \supset A \supset B$$

¹`val catch = letcc (absurd o f o letcc o throw)`

Classical Proofs!?

Now $\neg A \triangleq 'a \text{ cont}$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq 'a \rightarrow \text{void}$.

We'll provide the helper `val catch : ('a -> void) -> 'a cont`¹

$A \vee \neg A$

```
letcc (fn na =>
  INR (catch (throw na o INL)))
```

$\neg(A \wedge B) \supset \neg A \vee \neg B$

$\neg(A \wedge \neg B) \supset A \supset B$

¹`val catch = letcc (absurd o f o letcc o throw)`

Classical Proofs!?

Now $\neg A \triangleq 'a \text{ cont}$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq 'a \rightarrow \text{void}$.

We'll provide the helper `val catch : ('a -> void) -> 'a cont`¹

$A \vee \neg A$

```
letcc (fn na =>
  INR (catch (throw na o INL)))
```

$\neg(A \wedge B) \supset \neg A \vee \neg B$

```
fn nab => letcc (fn k =>
  INL (catch (fn a => throw k (
  INR (catch (fn b => throw nab (a,b))))))
```

$\neg(A \wedge \neg B) \supset A \supset B$

¹`val catch = letcc (absurd o f o letcc o throw)`

Classical Proofs!?

Now $\neg A \triangleq 'a \text{ cont}$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq 'a \rightarrow \text{void}$.

We'll provide the helper `val catch : ('a -> void) -> 'a cont`¹

$A \vee \neg A$

```
letcc (fn na =>
  INR (catch (throw na o INL)))
```

$\neg(A \wedge B) \supset \neg A \vee \neg B$

```
fn nab => letcc (fn k =>
  INL (catch (fn a => throw k (
  INR (catch (fn b => throw nab (a,b))))))
```

$\neg(A \wedge \neg B) \supset A \supset B$

```
fn k => fn a =>
  letcc (fn nb => throw k (a,nb))
```

¹`val catch = letcc (absurd o f o letcc o throw)`

What the hype?!

Claim: $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{R}. \neg a \text{ rational} \wedge \neg b \text{ rational} \wedge a^b \text{ rational}$

Proof.

Case on $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ rational $\vee \neg \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ rational.

Case 1. Let $a = \sqrt{2}$ and $b = \sqrt{2}$.

Case 2. Let $a = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ and $b = \sqrt{2}$.



Remember how LEM works! It asserts that it's false... until you prove it wrong.

Demo: True or Not True?

```
val weird = fn () =>
  let
    val p = K.letcc (fn na => INR (K.catch (K.throw na
      o INL))) : (unit, unit K.cont) Either.either
  in
    case p of
      INL () => print "duh, true is true\n"
    | INR k  => (print "uhhh what?\n"; K.throw k ())
  end
```

Conclusion

- Continuations are useful to program with! They let you alter control flow.

Conclusion

- Continuations are useful to program with! They let you alter control flow.
- Classical logic doesn't hold much proof content.