

Continuations

Hype for Types

September 23, 2024

Exceptions

Find

```
| fun fold f z nil = z  
|   | fold f z (x::xs) = f(x, fold f z xs)
```

Find

```
fun fold f z nil = z
| fold f z (x::xs) = f(x, fold f z xs)

fun find (p : 'a -> bool) (l : 'a list) : 'a option =
  fold
    (fn (x,r) => if p x then SOME x else r)
    NONE l
```

Find

```
fun fold f z nil = z
| fold f z (x::xs) = f(x, fold f z xs)

fun find (p : 'a -> bool) (l : 'a list) : 'a option =
  fold
    (fn (x,r) => if p x then SOME x else r)
  NONE l

fun find' (p : 'a -> bool) (l : 'a list) : 'a option =
  let exception Ret of 'a in
    fold
      (fn (x,_) => if p x then raise Ret x else NONE)
      NONE l
      handle Ret x => SOME x
  end
```

Find

```
fun fold f z nil = z
| fold f z (x::xs) = f(x, fold f z xs)

fun find (p : 'a -> bool) (l : 'a list) : 'a option =
  fold
    (fn (x,r) => if p x then SOME x else r)
  NONE l

fun find' (p : 'a -> bool) (l : 'a list) : 'a option =
  let exception Ret of 'a in
    fold
      (fn (x,_) => if p x then raise Ret x else NONE)
      NONE l
      handle Ret x => SOME x
  end
```

Prod

```
fun fold f z nil = z
| fold f z (x::xs) = f(x, fold f z xs)
```

```
fun prod p l =
  fold
    op*
    1 l
```

Prod

```
fun fold f z nil = z
| fold f z (x::xs) = f(x, fold f z xs)

fun prod p l =
  fold
    op*
    1 l

fun prod p l =
  let exception Ret of int in
    fold
      (fn (0, _) => raise Ret 0 | (x, acc) => x * acc)
      1 l
      handle Ret i => i
  end
```

Continuations

CPS, but at the type level?

```
(* prod : int list -> (int -> 'a) -> 'a *)
fun prod nil      k = k 1
| prod (0::_)   k = k 0
| prod (x::xs)  k = prod xs (fn res => k (x * res))
```

CPS, but at the type level?

```
(* prod : int list -> (int -> 'a) -> 'a *)
fun prod nil      k = k 1
| prod (0::_)   k = k 0
| prod (x::xs) k = prod xs (fn res => k (x * res))
```

Goal

Replace type `int -> 'a` with a *jump point* expecting an `int`.

Conveniently, SML <> SML/NJ

```
signature CONT =
sig
  type 'a cont
  val letcc : ('a cont -> 'a) -> 'a
  val throw : 'a cont -> 'a -> 'b
  val catch : ('a -> void) -> 'a cont
end

structure K :> CONT =
struct
  type 'a cont = 'a SMLofNJ.Cont.cont
  val letcc = SMLofNJ.Cont.callcc (* return *)
  val throw = SMLofNJ.Cont.throw
  val catch = fn f => letcc (absurd o f o letcc o
    throw)
end
```

Some Rules

$$\frac{\Gamma, k : \tau \text{ cont} \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{letcc } k \text{ in } e : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash k : \tau \text{ cont} \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{throw } k e : \tau'}$$

CPS, but at the type level!

```
(* prod : int list -> int cont -> 'a *)
fun prod nil      k = throw k 1
| prod (0::_)   k = throw k 0
| prod (x::xs)  k = prod xs (catch (fn res => throw k
                                  (x * res)))
```

CPS, but at the type level!

```
(* prod : int list -> int cont -> 'a *)
fun prod nil      k = throw k 1
| prod (0::_)   k = throw k 0
| prod (x::xs)  k = prod xs (catch (fn res => throw k
    (x * res)))

- letcc (fn k => prod [1,2,3] k);
val it = 6 : int
```

Example: values with holes

```
(* sum : int list -> (int, int * int cont) either *)
(* sum [2, 1, 5] ==> INL 8 *)
(* sum [2, ~2, 5] ==> INR (~2,K) *)
```

Example: values with holes

```
(* sum : int list -> (int, int * int cont) either *)
(* sum [2, 1, 5] ==> INL 8 *)
(* sum [2, ~2, 5] ==> INR (~2,K) *)

type result = (int, int * int cont) either

fun aux (L : int list) (k : result cont) : int =
  case L of
    nil      => 0
  | x::xs   => letcc (fn here =>
      if x < 0 then throw k (INR (x,here)) else x
    ) + aux xs k

val sum = fn L => letcc (fn k => INL (aux L k))
```

Example: values with holes

```
(* sum : int list -> (int, int * int cont) either *)
(* sum [2, 1, 5] ==> INL 8 *)
(* sum [2, ~2, 5] ==> INR (~2,K) *)

fun sumNonneg L =
  case sum L of
    INL res => SOME res
  | INR _    => NONE
```

Example: values with holes

```
(* sum : int list -> (int, int * int cont) either *)
(* sum [2, 1, 5] ==> INL 8 *)
(* sum [2, ~2, 5] ==> INR (~2,K) *)

fun sumNonneg L =
  case sum L of
    INL res => SOME res
  | INR _    => NONE

fun positives L =
  case sum L of
    INL res      => res
  | INR (n, k)  => throw k (Int.abs n)
```

Example: values with holes

```
(* sum : int list -> (int, int * int cont) either *)
(* sum [2, 1, 5] ==> INL 8 *)
(* sum [2, ~2, 5] ==> INR (~2,K) *)

local
  val readNum = fn () => valOf (Int.fromString (valOf(
    TextIO.inputLine TextIO.stdIn)))
in
  fun fromUser L =
    case sum L of
      INL res => res
    | INR (x, k) => (
        print ("We got: " ^ Int.toString x ^ " (?) ");
        throw k (readNum ())
      )
end
```

Back to Curry-Howard!

Is this Logical?

$'a * 'b$	$A \wedge B$
$'a + 'b$	$A \vee B$
$'a \rightarrow 'b$	$A \supset B$
<code>unit</code>	\top
<code>void</code>	\perp
$'a$ cont	

Is this Logical?

'a * 'b	$A \wedge B$
'a + 'b	$A \vee B$
'a -> 'b	$A \supset B$
unit	\top
void	\perp
'a cont	

$$\frac{\Gamma, k : \tau \text{ cont} \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{letcc } k \text{ in } e : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash k : \tau \text{ cont} \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{throw } k e : \tau'}$$

Is this Logical?

'a *	'b	$A \wedge B$
'a +	'b	$A \vee B$
'a ->	'b	$A \supset B$
unit		\top
void		\perp
'a cont		

$$\frac{\Gamma, \tau \text{ cont} \vdash \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau \text{ cont} \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \tau'}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \neg A \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \neg A \quad \Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash B}$$

Is this Logical?

$'a * 'b$	$A \wedge B$
$'a + 'b$	$A \vee B$
$'a \rightarrow 'b$	$A \supset B$
unit	\top
void	\perp
$'a \text{ cont}$	$\neg A$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \tau \text{ cont} \vdash \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau \text{ cont} \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \tau'}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \neg A \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \neg A \quad \Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash B}$$

Programs are proofs...

Now $\neg A \triangleq \text{'a cont}$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq \text{'a} \rightarrow \text{void}$.

Recall the helper val catch : $(\text{'a} \rightarrow \text{void}) \rightarrow \text{'a cont}$

$$\neg(A \wedge \neg A)$$

$$\neg(A \vee B) \supset \neg A \wedge \neg B$$

$$(A \supset B) \supset \neg(A \wedge \neg B)$$

Programs are proofs...

Now $\neg A \triangleq \text{cont } a$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq \text{void} \rightarrow \text{void}$.

Recall the helper val catch : $(\text{void} \rightarrow \text{void}) \rightarrow \text{cont}$

$$\neg(A \wedge \neg A)$$

catch (fn (a,na) => throw na a)

$$\neg(A \vee B) \supset \neg A \wedge \neg B$$

$$(A \supset B) \supset \neg(A \wedge \neg B)$$

Programs are proofs...

Now $\neg A \triangleq 'a\ cont$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq 'a \rightarrow void$.

Recall the helper val catch : ('a → void) → 'a cont

$$\neg(A \wedge \neg A)$$

```
catch (fn (a,na) => throw na a)
```

$$\neg(A \vee B) \supset \neg A \wedge \neg B$$

```
fn k =>
  (catch (fn a => throw k (INL a)),
   catch (fn b => throw k (INR b)))
```

$$(A \supset B) \supset \neg(A \wedge \neg B)$$

Programs are proofs...

Now $\neg A \triangleq 'a\ cont$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq 'a \rightarrow void$.

Recall the helper val catch : ('a -> void) -> 'a cont

$$\neg(A \wedge \neg A)$$

```
catch (fn (a,na) => throw na a)
```

$$\neg(A \vee B) \supset \neg A \wedge \neg B$$

```
fn k =>
  (catch (fn a => throw k (INL a)),
   catch (fn b => throw k (INR b)))
```

$$(A \supset B) \supset \neg(A \wedge \neg B)$$

```
fn f => catch (fn (a,nb) =>
                  throw nb (f a))
```

Finally a proof of $A \vee \neg A$



Devil: I have an offer for you. Either I give you a ton of gold, or you give me a ton of gold and I will make you the instructor of H4T.

Finally a proof of $A \vee \neg A$



We prove $P \vee \neg P$ by proving $\neg P$. If you believe me, then we are done. If you don't believe me, then you need to give a counter proof, a.k.a a proof of P . Then we $P \vee \neg P$ by proving P .

Finally a proof of $A \vee \neg A$



We prove $P \vee \neg P$ by proving $\neg P$. If you believe me, then we are done. If you don't believe me, then you need to give a counter proof, a.k.a a proof of P . Then we $P \vee \neg P$ by proving P .

Important Idea

Continuations correspond to *classical logic*!

Classical Proofs!?

Now $\neg A \triangleq \text{'a cont}$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq \text{'a} \rightarrow \text{void}$.

We'll provide the helper val catch : $(\text{'a} \rightarrow \text{void}) \rightarrow \text{'a cont}$ ¹

$$A \vee \neg A$$

$$\neg\neg A \supset A$$

$$\neg(A \wedge B) \supset \neg A \vee \neg B$$

$$\neg(A \wedge \neg B) \supset A \supset B$$

¹fun catch f = letcc (absurd o f o letcc o throw)

Classical Proofs!?

Now $\neg A \triangleq \text{'a cont}$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq \text{'a} \rightarrow \text{void}$.

We'll provide the helper val catch : $(\text{'a} \rightarrow \text{void}) \rightarrow \text{'a cont}$ ¹

$$A \vee \neg A$$

```
letcc (fn nana =>
  INR (catch (fn a => throw nana (INL a)))
```

$$\neg\neg A \supset A$$

$$\neg(A \wedge B) \supset \neg A \vee \neg B$$

$$\neg(A \wedge \neg B) \supset A \supset B$$

¹fun catch f = letcc (absurd o f o letcc o throw)

Classical Proofs!?

Now $\neg A \triangleq 'a\ cont$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq 'a \rightarrow \text{void}$.

We'll provide the helper val catch : $('a \rightarrow \text{void}) \rightarrow 'a cont^1$

$$A \vee \neg A$$

```
letcc (fn nana =>
  INR (catch (fn a => throw nana (INL a)))
```

$$\neg\neg A \supset A$$

```
fn nna =>
  letcc (fn na => throw nna na)
```

$$\neg(A \wedge B) \supset \neg A \vee \neg B$$

$$\neg(A \wedge \neg B) \supset A \supset B$$

¹fun catch f = letcc (absurd o f o letcc o throw)

Classical Proofs!?

Now $\neg A \triangleq 'a\ cont$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq 'a \rightarrow \text{void}$.

We'll provide the helper val catch : $('a \rightarrow \text{void}) \rightarrow 'a cont^1$

$$A \vee \neg A$$

```
letcc (fn nana =>
    INR (catch (fn a => throw nana (INL a)))
```

$$\neg\neg A \supset A$$

```
fn nna =>
    letcc (fn na => throw nna na)
```

$$\neg(A \wedge B) \supset \neg A \vee \neg B$$

```
fn nab => letcc (fn k =>
    INL (catch (fn a => throw k (
        INR (catch (fn b => throw nab (a,b))))))
```

$$\neg(A \wedge \neg B) \supset A \supset B$$

¹fun catch f = letcc (absurd o f o letcc o throw)

Classical Proofs!?

Now $\neg A \triangleq 'a\ cont$ instead of $\neg A \triangleq 'a \rightarrow \text{void}$.

We'll provide the helper val catch : $('a \rightarrow \text{void}) \rightarrow 'a cont^1$

$$A \vee \neg A$$

```
letcc (fn nana =>
    INR (catch (fn a => throw nana (INL a)))
```

$$\neg\neg A \supset A$$

```
fn nna =>
    letcc (fn na => throw nna na)
```

$$\neg(A \wedge B) \supset \neg A \vee \neg B$$

```
fn nab => letcc (fn k =>
    INL (catch (fn a => throw k (
        INR (catch (fn b => throw nab (a,b))))))
```

$$\neg(A \wedge \neg B) \supset A \supset B$$

```
fn k => fn a =>
    letcc (fn nb => throw k (a,nb))
```

¹fun catch f = letcc (absurd o f o letcc o throw)

Demo: True or Not True?

```
val weird = fn () =>
  let
    val p = K.letcc (fn na => INR (K.catch (K.throw na
      o INL))) : (unit,unit K.cont) Either.either
  in
    case p of
      INL () => print "duh, true is true\n"
    | INR k   => (print "uhhh what?\n"; K.throw k ())
  end
```

Conclusion

- Continuations are useful to program with! They let you alter control flow.

Conclusion

- Continuations are useful to program with! They let you alter control flow.
- Classical logic doesn't hold much proof content.