## <span id="page-0-0"></span>Dependent Types

Hype for Types

November 18, 2024



Þ ×.

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶** 

重

 $298$ 

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>[Safe Printing](#page-1-0)



Þ  $\mathbf{p}$ 

× ×,

**K ロ ▶ K 伊** 

重

 $299$ 

# **Detypify**

Consider these well typed expressions:

sprintf "nice" sprintf "%d" 5 sprintf "%s,%d" "wow" 32

What is the type of sprintf?

**← ロ → → ← 何 →** 

- K 로 K X 로 K / 로 → YO Q Q →

# **Detypify**

Consider these well typed expressions:

sprintf "nice" sprintf "%d" 5 sprintf "%s,%d" "wow" 32

What is the type of sprintf? Well... it depends.

**← ロ → → ← 何 →** 

 $A \equiv A + B + C \equiv A + C$ 

The type of sprintf *depends* on the value of the argument. In order to compute the type of sprintf, we'll need to write a function that takes a string (List char), and returns a type!

4 0 F

 $\Omega$ 

The type of sprintf *depends* on the value of the argument. In order to compute the type of sprintf, we'll need to write a function that takes a string (List char), and returns a type!

```
(* sprintf s : formatType s *)
```
∢ □ ▶ ⊣ <sup>□</sup>

 $\Omega$ 

The type of sprintf *depends* on the value of the argument. In order to compute the type of sprintf, we'll need to write a function that takes a string (List char), and returns a type!

```
(* sprintf s : formatType s *)
fun formatType (s : char list) : type =
 case s of
    \Box => char list
  | ('%' :: 'd' :: cs) => (int -> formatType cs)
  | ('%' :: 's' :: cs) => (string -> formatType cs)
  | ( | :: cs) \Rightarrow formatType cs
```
The type of sprintf *depends* on the value of the argument. In order to compute the type of sprintf, we'll need to write a function that takes a string (List char), and returns a type!

```
(* sprintf s : formatType s *)
fun formatType (s : char list) : type =
 case s of
    \Box => char list
  | ('%' :: 'd' :: cs) => (int -> formatType cs)
  | ('%' :: 's' :: cs) => (string -> formatType cs)
  | ( :: cs) \Rightarrow formatType cs
(* formatType "%d and %s" = int -> string -> char list *)
```
 $(*)$  sprintf "%d and %s" : int -> string -> char list  $*)$ 

Ok, we can express the type of sprintf s for some argument s, but what's the type of sprintf?

4 0 F

 $QQ$ 

э

Ok, we can express the type of sprintf s for some argument s, but what's the type of sprintf?

Recall that when we wanted to express a type like " $A \rightarrow A$  for all A", we introduced universal quantification over types:  $\forall$  A.A -> A.

 $\Omega$ 

Ok, we can express the type of sprintf s for some argument s, but what's the type of sprintf?

Recall that when we wanted to express a type like " $A \rightarrow A$  for all A", we introduced universal quantification over types:  $\forall$  A.A -> A.

What if we had universal quantification over values?

 $\Omega$ 

Ok, we can express the type of sprintf s for some argument s, but what's the type of sprintf?

Recall that when we wanted to express a type like " $A \rightarrow A$  for all A", we introduced universal quantification over types:  $\forall$  A.A -> A.

What if we had universal quantification over values?

```
sprintf : (s : char list) \rightarrow formatType s
```
 $QQ$ 

What kind of proposition does quantification over values correspond to?



 $\Omega$ 

 $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{A}$ 

What kind of proposition does quantification over values correspond to?

$$
(x:\tau)\to A\ \equiv\ \forall x:\tau.A
$$

4 0 8

∢母

 $QQ$ 

э

What kind of proposition does quantification over values correspond to?

$$
(x:\tau)\to A\ \equiv\ \forall x:\tau.A
$$

This type is sometimes also written as:

- $\bigcirc$   $\forall (x : \tau) \rightarrow A$
- 2  $\forall x : t.A$
- 3  $\Pi_{x}$ :<sub>τ</sub> $A$

4 D F

э

 $\Omega$ 

What kind of proposition does quantification over values correspond to?

$$
(x:\tau)\to A\ \equiv\ \forall x:\tau.A
$$

This type is sometimes also written as:

$$
\bullet \ \forall (x : \tau) \to A
$$

- 2  $\forall x : t.A$
- 3  $\Pi_{x:\tau}A$

### Question

Seems like we now have two arrow types:

 $\bullet$  Normal:  $A \rightarrow B$ 

**2** Dependent: 
$$
(x : A) \rightarrow B
$$

Do we need both?

 $\Omega$ 

### Question

Seems like we now have two arrow types:

- **•** Normal:  $A \rightarrow B$
- 2 Dependent:  $(x : A) \rightarrow B$

Do we need both?



G.

 $299$ 

**K ロ ▶ K 伺 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K ヨ ▶** 

### Question

Seems like we now have two arrow types:

- $\bullet$  Normal:  $A \rightarrow B$
- 2 Dependent:  $(x : A) \rightarrow B$

Do we need both? Nope!

$$
A \rightarrow B \equiv (\underline{\hspace{1cm}} : A) \rightarrow B
$$



E

 $298$ 

Þ  $\mathbf{p}$ 

41

∢母

4 D F

### <span id="page-18-0"></span>Some Rules

$$
\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e : A \quad \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash A : \mathsf{Type}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda(x : \tau) e : (x : \tau) \rightarrow A} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : (x : \tau) \rightarrow A \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \ e_2 : [e_2/x]A}
$$

 $2990$ 

イロト イ団 トイ ヨト イヨト 一番

<span id="page-19-0"></span>In SML we write type contructors on the right:

```
val cool : int list = [1, 2, 3, 4]
```
<sup>1</sup> Readers [ma](#page-18-0)y note the parallels to another CS course ma[ntr](#page-20-0)[a](#page-18-0)[.](#page-19-0)  $QQ$ э

<span id="page-20-0"></span>In SML we write type contructors on the *right*:

```
val cool : int list = [1, 2, 3, 4]
```
But now we have functions in our types, and we apply functions on the left! So let's just write everything on the left. While we are at it, lets make values of type  $Type$  capital, and their values lowercase:

> val cool : List Int =  $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ val a :  $A = (*$  omitted \*)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Readers [ma](#page-19-0)y note the parallels to another CS course ma[ntr](#page-21-0)[a](#page-18-0) $\sigma \mapsto \sigma \circ \sigma$  $QQ$ 

<span id="page-21-0"></span>In SML we write type contructors on the *right*:

```
val cool : int list = [1, 2, 3, 4]
```
But now we have functions in our types, and we apply functions on the left! So let's just write everything on the left. While we are at it, lets make values of type  $Type$  capital, and their values lowercase:

```
val cool : List Int = [1, 2, 3, 4]val a : A = (* omitted *)
```
#### Question

What is the type of List?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Readers [ma](#page-20-0)y note the parallels to another CS course ma[ntr](#page-22-0)[a](#page-18-0)[.](#page-19-0)  $\Omega$ 

<span id="page-22-0"></span>In SML we write type contructors on the *right*:

```
val cool : int list = [1, 2, 3, 4]
```
But now we have functions in our types, and we apply functions on the left! So let's just write everything on the left. While we are at it, lets make values of type  $Type$  capital, and their values lowercase:

```
val cool : List Int = [1, 2, 3, 4]val a : A = (* omitted *)
```
### Question

What is the type of List?

```
List : Type -> Type
```
List is a function over types!

### Types are values $1$

1 Readers [ma](#page-21-0)y note the parallels to another CS course ma[ntr](#page-23-0)[a](#page-18-0) $\sigma$  >  $\rightarrow$   $\rightarrow$   $\rightarrow$   $\rightarrow$   $\rightarrow$  $QQ$ 

## <span id="page-23-0"></span>Vectors Again

If we can write functions from values to types, can we define new type constructors which depend on values?

4 0 F → 母 э

 $QQ$ 

## Vectors Again

If we can write functions from values to types, can we define new type constructors which depend on values?

```
inductive Vec : Type \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Type
| nil : (A : Type) \rightarrow Vec A O| cons : (A : Type) \rightarrow (n : Nat) \rightarrowA \rightarrow Vec A n \rightarrow Vec A (n+1)
def xs : Vec String 3 :=
  cons String 2 "hype" (
     cons String 1 (toString 4) (
       cons String 0 "types" (nil String)
     )
```
)

 $E^*$   $A^*$   $E^*$   $E^*$   $A^*$   $C^*$ 

### Vectors Again

```
inductive Vec : Type \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Type
| nil : (A : Type) \rightarrow Vec A O| cons : (A : Type) \rightarrow (n : Nat) \rightarrowA \rightarrow Vec A n \rightarrow Vec A (n+1)
def two := 1 + 0 + 1def xs : Vec String (6 / two) :=
  cons String two "hype" (
     cons String 1 (toString 4) (
       cons String 0 "types" (nil String)
    )
  )
```
◂**◻▸ ◂<del>⁄</del>** ▸

- K 로 K K 로 K 도 로 X 9 Q Q Q

### Vectors are actually usable now!

```
val append : (a : Type) \rightarrow (n m : Nat) \rightarrowVec a n \rightarrowVec a m \rightarrowVec a(n + m)val repeat : (a : Type) \rightarrow (n : Nat) \rightarrowa \rightarrowVec a n
val filter : (a : Type) \rightarrow (n : Nat) \rightarrow(a \rightarrow bool) ->
                  Vec a n \rightarrowVec a ?? (* What should go here? *)
```
### Vectors are actually usable now!

```
val append : (a : Type) \rightarrow (n m : Nat) \rightarrowVec a n \rightarrowVec a m \rightarrowVec a(n + m)val repeat : (a : Type) \rightarrow (n : Nat) \rightarrowa \rightarrowVec a n
val filter : (a : Type) \rightarrow (n : Nat) \rightarrow(a \rightarrow bool) \rightarrowVec a n \rightarrowVec a ?? (* What should go here? *)
```


### Existential Crisis

For filter, we need to return the vector's length, in addition to the vector itself:

```
val filter : (a : Type) \rightarrow (n : Nat) \rightarrow(a \rightarrow bool) ->
                   Vec a n \rightarrowNat \times Vec a ??
```
K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ - 로 - K 9 Q @

## Existential Crisis

For filter, we need to return the vector's length, in addition to the vector itself:

```
val filter : (a : Type) \rightarrow (n : Nat) \rightarrow(a \rightarrow bool) ->
                   Vec a n \rightarrowNat \times Vec a ??
```
We want to refer to the left value of a tuple, in the TYPE on the right.

Intuition: existential quantification!

There exists some n : Nat, such that we return Vec a n.

(We're constructivists, so exists means I actually give you the value)

 $A \equiv A \equiv A$  ,  $B \equiv A$  ,  $A \equiv A$ 

## **Duality**

$$
(x:\tau)\times A\equiv\exists x:\tau.A
$$

This type can also be written:

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\bullet \{x : \tau \mid A\} \\
\bullet \Sigma_{x : \tau} A\n\end{array}
$$

```
As before, A \times B \equiv (\_ : A) \times Bval filter : (a : Type) \rightarrow (n : Nat) \rightarrow(a \rightarrow bool) ->
                  Vec a n ->
                   (m : Nat) \times Vec a m
```
### More Rules

$$
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : [e_1/x]A \quad \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash A : \text{Type}}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : (x : \tau) \times A}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : (x : \tau) \times A}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_1 \ e : \tau} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : (x : \tau) \times A}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_2 \ e : [\pi_1 \ e/x]A}
$$



 $QQ$ 

K ロ K K 個 K K 差 K K 差 K … 差

## <span id="page-32-0"></span>[Ok, so what?](#page-32-0)



重

 $2990$ 

**Kロト K個 K** 

Specifications are actually pretty nice

**Discussion** 

Do you actually read function contracts/specifications in 122/150?



4 D F

E

 $QQ$ 

Specifications are actually pretty nice

#### **Discussion**

Do you actually read function contracts/specifications in 122/150?

```
(* REQUIRES : input list is sorted *)
val search : int \rightarrow int list \rightarrow int option
> search 3 [5, 4, 3] == NONE
(* "search is broken!" *)
(* piazza post ensues *)
```
ERNER E MAG

## Compile-time Contracts

The 122 solution:

```
int search (int target, int[] arr)
//@requires is_sorted(arr)
{
    . . .
}
```
Nice, but only works at runtime.

4 D F

э

 $QQ$ 

## Compile-time Contracts

The 122 solution:

```
int search (int target, int[] arr)
//@requires is_sorted(arr)
{
    . . .
}
```
Nice, but only works at runtime.

What if passing search a non-sorted list was a type error?

4 D F

э

 $QQ$ 

(\* REQUIRES : second argument is greater than zero \*) val div : Nat -> Nat -> Nat

Comment contracts aren't good enough. I don't read comments!



∢ □ ▶ ◀ <sup>□</sup> ▶ ◀

 $E + 4E + E = 0.90$ 

(\* REQUIRES : second argument is greater than zero \*) val div : Nat -> Nat -> Nat

Comment contracts aren't good enough. I don't read comments!

val div : Nat -> Nat -> Nat option

Incurs runtime cost to check for zero, and you still have to fail if it happens.

**KED KARD KED KED A BA YOUN** 

(\* REQUIRES : second argument is greater than zero \*) val div : Nat -> Nat -> Nat

Comment contracts aren't good enough. I don't read comments!

val div : Nat -> Nat -> Nat option

Incurs runtime cost to check for zero, and you still have to fail if it happens.

val div : Nat  $\rightarrow$  (n : Nat)  $\times$  (1  $\le$  n)  $\rightarrow$  Nat

Dividing by zero is impossible! And we incur no runtime cost to prevent it.

**KED KARD KED KED A BA YOUN** 

(\* REQUIRES : second argument is greater than zero \*) val div : Nat -> Nat -> Nat

Comment contracts aren't good enough. I don't read comments!

val div : Nat -> Nat -> Nat option

Incurs runtime cost to check for zero, and you still have to fail if it happens.

val div : Nat  $\rightarrow$  (n : Nat)  $\times$  (1  $\le$  n)  $\rightarrow$  Nat

Dividing by zero is impossible! And we incur no runtime cost to prevent it. What does a value of type  $(n: Nat) \times (1 \le n)$  look like?

 $(3,$  conceptsHW1.pdf) :  $(n : Nat) \times (1 \le n)$ 



## 15-151 Refresher

What constitutes a proof of  $n \leq m$ ?



 $\sim$ 

⋍

**◆ ロ ▶ → 何** 

重

 $298$ 

## 15-151 Refresher

What constitutes a proof of  $n \leq m$ ? We just have to define what  $(\le)$  means!

- $\bigcirc$   $\forall n, 0 \leq n$
- $2 \forall m \; n, n \leq m \Rightarrow n+1 \leq m+1$

This looks familiar!

4 0 F → 母 G.

 $QQ$ 

## 15-151 Refresher

What constitutes a proof of  $n \leq m$ ? We just have to define what  $(\le)$  means!

- $\bigcirc$   $\forall n, 0 \leq n$
- $2 \forall m \; n, n \leq m \Rightarrow n+1 \leq m+1$

This looks familiar!

```
inductive Le : Nat \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Prop
| zero {n : Nat} : Le 0 n
| step \{n \, \text{m} : \text{Nat}\} : Le n \, \text{m} \rightarrow Le (\text{Nat.succ n}) (\text{Nat.succ m})
```
Existence

 $\Omega$ 

## conceptsHW1.pdf

```
inductive Le : Nat \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Prop
| zero {n : Nat} : Le 0 n
| step \{n \, \text{m} : \text{Nat}\} : Le n \, \text{m} \rightarrow \text{Le} (Nat.succ n) (Nat.succ m)
def ext{ ex1} : Le 0 0 := @Le.zero 0
def ex1' : Le 0 0 := Le.zero
def ex2: Le 0 3 := Le.zero
def ex3 : Le 2 3 := Le.step (Le.step Le.zero)
def ex4 : (n : Nat) \times ' (Le 1 n) :=
   �3, (Le.step Le.zero)�
```
◂**◻▸ ◂<del>⁄</del>** ▸

**KENKEN E KAQO** 

## <span id="page-45-0"></span>Some Sort of Contract

```
inductive Sorted : List Nat → Prop
| nil sorted : Sorted []
| single sorted : (n : Nat) \rightarrow Sorted [n]
| cons sorted : (n m : Nat) →
                      (xs : List Nat) \rightarrowLe n m \rightarrowSorted (m :: xs) →
                      Sorted (n : : m : : xs)def search : Nat
            → (xs : List Nat)
            → Sorted xs
```
→ Option Nat := sorry

∢ □ ▶ ⊣ <sup>□</sup>

**KERKER E MAG**