# Dependent Type Theory

Cameron Wong

98-317 Hype For Types

2020-04-16

#### Last Time

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

#### Types depend on values

- ('a, n) vec as the type of length-*n* lists
- n fin as the type of naturals less than n

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- Lift all values up to the type level
- Instead of complicated encodings like using <u>succ</u> and <u>fin</u> as type-level functions, just refer to values in types
- nth: ('a,n) vec -> {x:nat | x < n} -> 'a

# Refinements

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

#### Can also bind arguments, eg

#### Refinements

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

#### Advantages

- Very easy to understand
- Requires no fancy tricks like 'a fin

# Onto Theory

#### Driving question:

What does it mean for a type to depend on a value?



# Onto Theory

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

#### **Driving question**: What is the type { x:t | p(x)}?

# What is a refined type?

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ めぬぐ

# What is a refined type?

Types = Sets?



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- $\mathtt{nat} = \mathbb{N}$
- int  $= \mathbb{Z}$
- $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 = (\text{set-theoretic function})$
- $\tau$  list =  $\mathbb{N} \to \overline{\tau}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- Refinements become very simple just use set comprehension!
  - {x:t | p(x)} = { $x \in T | p(x)$ }

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

#### Advantages

- Very intuitive
- Can apply existing set theory research to type theory

#### Disadvantages

• Well...



#### datatype t = T of $t \rightarrow bool$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ の�?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- Let S be the set representing the type t
- Certainly, |S| = |S 
  ightarrow bool|

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

#### Cantor's Theorem For any set A, $|A| < |\mathcal{P}(A)|$ .

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

- $S \rightarrow \texttt{bool}$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{P}(S)$
- Uh-oh...

#### Disadvantages

• It's unsound!



### What is a refined type?

Recall: Curry-Howard Isomorphism



### What is a refined type?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

#### Curry-Howard Isomorphism

Types are propositions, programs are proofs

# Types as propositions

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

#### Review

Algebraic types (+ functions) correspond to propositional logic (or zeroth-order logic):

- $P \land Q$  corresponds to  $A \times B$
- $P \lor Q$  corresponds to A + B
- $P \Rightarrow Q$  corresponds to  $A \rightarrow B$

### Types as propositions

What about first-order logic?



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

- $\exists (x:\tau).p(x)$
- $\forall (x:\tau).p(x)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

#### For any $x : \tau$ , p(x) is a proposition.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

#### For any $x : \tau$ , p(x) is a proposition type.

 $p \text{ is a function } \tau \rightarrow \texttt{type}$ 



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

#### How to prove $\exists (x : \tau).p(x)$ ?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Need:

- Some value  $v : \tau$
- A proof of the proposition p(v)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Need:

- A value  $v : \tau$
- A proof program of the proposition type p(v)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Need:

- A value expression  $v : \tau$
- A proof program expression of the proposition type p(v)



A pair of expressions is a tuple!



Dependent tuple:  $\Sigma(x : \tau).p(x)$ 

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_{1} : \tau \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_{2} : p(e_{1})}{\Gamma \vdash \langle e_{1}, e_{2} \rangle : \Sigma(x : \tau).p(x)} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \Sigma(x : \tau).p(x)}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_{1}e : \tau} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \Sigma(x : \tau).p(x)}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_{2}e : p(\pi_{1}e)}$$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

#### **Observation:**

If  $p(x) = \tau_2$  is a constant function, then  $\Sigma(x : \tau_1).p(x)$  is the same as  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ 

#### **Observation:**

 $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$  is " $\tau_2$  added  $\tau_1$  times"



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

#### What is a proof of $\forall (x : \tau).p(x)$ ?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

#### Given a value $v : \tau$ , produce a proof of the proposition p(v)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

# Given a value $v : \tau$ , produce a proof expression of the proposition type p(v)

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = のへで

#### This is a function of type au o p(v)

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

Dependent function:  $\Pi(x : \tau).p(x)$ 

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e : p(x)}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda(x : \tau).e : \Pi(x : \tau).p(x)}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \Pi(x : \tau).p(x) \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : e_2 : p(e_1)}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

#### Observation:

If  $p(x) = \tau_2$ , then  $\Pi(x : \tau_1).p(x)$  is equivalent to  $\tau_1 \to \tau_2$ 

- $\Sigma(x : \tau).p(x)$  corresponds to  $\exists (x : \tau).p(x)$
- $\Pi(x:\tau).p(x)$  corresponds to  $\forall (x:\tau).p(x)$

#### Refinements

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

#### Back to refinements What is $\{x:t | p(x)\}$ ?

### Refinements

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- {x:t | p(x)} is  $\Sigma(x:t).p(x)$
- {x:t} -> p(x) is  $\Pi(x:t).p(x)$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

#### Note that regular functions can be subsumed by $\Pi$ -types! int -> int $\rightsquigarrow \Pi(\_:int).(\lambda_\_:int)$

#### Next Question:

How to prove the proposition p(x)?



#### Next Question:

How to prove the proposition write a program of type p(x)?



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

#### Next Question:

How to prove the proposition write a program of type 3 < 5?

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = のへで

#### What is the definition of $<_{nat}$ ?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

fun 0 < s(\_) = true
| \_ < 0 = false
| s(n) < s(m) = n < m</pre>

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

#### $3 < 5 \rightsquigarrow 2 < 4 \rightsquigarrow 1 < 3 \rightsquigarrow 0 < 2 \rightsquigarrow \texttt{true}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

#### Curry-Howard

- The type unit (or 1) corresponds to the proposition  $\top$  (true)
- The type void (or 0) corresponds to the proposition  $\perp$  (false)

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

#### The type 3 < 5 is equivalent to unit!

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

Refl: 
$$(3 < 5)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

#### Refl = "true by definition"

#### (3, Refl) : {x:int | x < 5}

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

For usability:

$$3 : {x:int | x < 5}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

#### repeat : $\Pi(n : \operatorname{nat}).\Pi(x : \alpha).\Sigma(I : (\alpha, n) \operatorname{vec}).$ $\Pi(m : \Sigma(m' : \operatorname{nat}).(m' < n)).(\operatorname{nth} I(\pi_1m) = x)$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

```
p has type m < 0 \rightsquigarrow \bot, so p : \mathbf{0}
```

```
fun repeat 0 x = ([], fn (m,p) => abort p)
| repeat n x =
        (* xs : ('a, n-1) vec
        * p : {m:nat | m < n} -> nth xs m = x
        *)
    let val (xs, p) = repeat (n-1) x
        in _
        end
```

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

```
fun repeat 0 x = ([], fn (m,p) => abort p)
  | repeat n x =
          (* xs : ('a, n-1) vec
          * p : {m:nat | m < n} -> nth xs m = x
          *)
        let val (xs, p) = repeat (n-1) x
          (* _: {m:nat | m < n} -> (nth (x::xs) m = x) *)
        in (x::xs, _)
        end
```

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

```
fun repeat 0 x = ([], fn (m,p) \Rightarrow abort p)
  | repeat n x =
      (* xs : ('a, n-1) vec
       * p : {m:nat | m < n-1} \rightarrow nth xs m = x
       *)
      let val (xs, p) = repeat (n-1) x
      (* By definition of <, [p : m < n] is also a
       * proof of m-1 < n-1
       *)
       in (x::xs, fn (0,p') => Refl
                                  (* (m-1,p') is
                                   * Sigma(x:nat).(x<n-1)</pre>
                                   *)
                     | (m, p') => p(m-1, p'))
      end
```

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲園▶ ▲園▶ 三国 - 釣ん(で)